

Recent and Future Developments Related to ‘GDP and Beyond’

Walter J. Radermacher (Eurostat)

Paper Prepared for the IARIW Session
at the 2013 World Statistics Conference
Sponsored by the International Statistical Institute

Hong Kong, August 26, 2013

Session 103: IARIW Session on the Measurement of Well-being

Time: Monday, August 26, 15:30-17:45

Recent and future developments related to ‘GDP and beyond’

Walter J. Radermacher
Chief Statistician of the European Union
Eurostat, European Commission

walter.radermacher@ec.europa.eu

A comprehensive approach to measuring progress within the EU

What makes some societies more successful than others? How do we measure progress of societies? What should be monitored – in addition to GDP - to steer their policies? What is important for ensuring the well-being of its citizens? How to measure the impact for future generations and other societies? During the last two decades these questions have received increasing attention in the European Union (EU), and this as well within the context of the emerging interest for sustainable development as in the context of the debate around the Stiglitz-Sen-Fitoussi (SSF) report.

The discussion on how to measure the well-being of individuals and societies has also been of primary interest to global public opinion. In addition the global crises of the last few years (food, energy and environmental, financial, economic and social) have made clear the urgent need to develop new statistical measures capable of guiding decision-makers in policy making, both at national level and in the search for globalised programmes.

While gross domestic product (GDP) remains undeniably important as a way to measure national economic results, it is essential to complement it with economic, environmental and social indicators able to provide a comprehensive assessment of the conditions and progress.

Measuring progress according to the main EU’s policy strategies...

In the aftermath of the first Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, the European Council adopted in 2001 the first EU-wide sustainable development strategy (SDS) with a renewed strategy adopted in 2006. As such the Council launched a vision of progress that linked economic development, protection of the environment and social justice under long-term perspective and a global scale.

As a concrete outcome of the SDS, the European Commission services led by Eurostat, established a comprehensive set of EU Sustainable Development Indicators (SDIs), covering a wide range of domains going from climate change and energy, to social inclusion and global partnership.

In 2010, the European Council adopted the Europe 2020 strategy as the EU's common agenda for the current decade. It puts the emphasis on smart, sustainable and inclusive growth as a way to overcome the structural weaknesses in Europe's economy, to improve its competitiveness and productivity and to underpin a sustainable social market economy. The new element here is that this strategy clearly mentions EU targets to be achieved by 2020.

Eurostat produces and disseminates sets of indicators to support both strategies. This involves close co-operation between statisticians and policy makers while respecting the principles of the European Statistics Code of Practice. Progress towards the Europe 2020 strategy targets is monitored by means of eight headline indicators and three sub-indicators. All Member States have committed to achieving the Europe 2020 targets and have translated them into national targets and growth-enhancing policies.

In the EU policy context there is a synergy between the Europe 2020 strategy and the EU's long-term strategy on SDS. Europe 2020 headline indicators are a core part of the indicators monitored by Eurostat in its bi-annual Sustainable Development monitoring report¹ which provides an objective, statistical picture of progress, based on the EU SDIs. Quantitative rules applied consistently across indicators, and visualised through weather symbols, provide a relative assessment of whether Europe is moving in the right direction.

As for the economic policy coordination, the Commission has set up a yearly cycle, the so-called European Semester, with the objective for the Member States to better align the goals of national budgetary, growth and employment policies, while taking into account the objectives they have set for themselves as the Union.

For the follow-up of the European Semester, Member States have agreed on common economic and financial indicators used in a surveillance mechanism which includes policy reaction (EDP², MIP³). Together with the Europe 2020 headline indicators, these indicators give a broad overview of the EU's economic and financial situation and on progress towards the main elements of smart, inclusive and sustainable growth. Eurostat ensures the quality of these indicators, for some of which it has even audit-like powers.

...and completing the picture: GDP and beyond

Even with all the existing data sets in the economic, social and environmental domain, it became clear that some basic information was still lacking on the real progress of our societies in all its aspects, on how the existing capital – natural, economic, human and social resources - are evolving over time

So the Commission launched in August 2009 its Communication on GDP and beyond: measuring progress in a changing world. By presenting this road map, the Commission decided to complement GDP with additional indicators, such as indicators on quality of life and on well-being and on environmental sustainability. The road map also included actions to a more accurate reporting on distribution and inequalities and on extending National Accounts to environmental and social issues.

The publication - one month later (September 2009) - of the SSF report has also responded to this growing need for a new benchmark that looks at development beyond pure economic progress. The European Statistical System (ESS) recognised that new initiatives and also new European statistics would be needed for measuring progress in the GDP and beyond context.

¹ Published since 2005

² Excessive Deficit Procedure

³ Macro-economic Imbalances Procedure

It therefore established in 2010 a co-operative project, the so-called Sponsorship Group⁴ on Measuring Progress, Well-being and Sustainable Development.

From recommendations to work accomplished

At the end of 2011, the European Statistical System Committee (ESSC) has adopted the final report of this Sponsorship Group, which sets out about 50 concrete actions and development work to be carried out by 2020. For most of these actions work has now either been accomplished or is in good progress. The resulting indicators and on-going work could be allocated to three main domains: quality of life, environmental sustainability and household income, consumption and wealth.

Quality of life

The measurement of quality of life is a relatively new field in European statistics. The ESSC agreed that quality of life indicators need to be understood through a multidimensional framework such as proposed in the SSF Report. It however put forward its own multi-dimensional framework comprising nine dimensions, which cover both societal opportunities and individual capacities or resources:

- Material living conditions (income, wealth and consumption)
- Health
- Education
- Productive and valued activities (including work)
- Governance and basic rights
- Leisure and social interactions (inclusion/exclusion)
- Natural and living environment
- Economic and physical safety
- Overall experience of life.

Within this context the measurement is not only concerned with objective outcomes, such as being at work, or having a decent income, but also in collecting data on people's subjective perceptions of life. These subjective perceptions could either relate to the outcome overall experience of life or to the subjective perceptions of each of the other dimensions (satisfaction with income, with health, with social relationships etc).

Together with an Expert Group, Eurostat has now established a first set of Quality of life indicators, endorsed by the European Directors of Social Statistics, and for which data are published on Eurostat's website. This set will further evolve and be completed over time.

For many of these indicators data are and will be provided by the EU-Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC) complemented with existing European statistics in the various fields of social statistics (i.e .employment, education, health). An important new

⁴ Representatives of most EU and the European Free Trade Area (EFTA) statistical offices as well as of European Central Bank (ECB), OECD and United Nations Economic Commission for Euro (UNECE) participated in this Group and its four Task forces.

instrument is this year's (2013) ad-hoc module of EU-SILC covering 11 new variables dealing with subjective well-being.

Environmental sustainability

With respect to measurement, the main focus has been laid on developing environmental and economic accounts as the appropriate statistical framework in order to ensure consistency with a more general framework encompassing also economic and social dimensions of sustainable development.

Over the last two decades, the ESS has gradually established a European environmental accounting system. Considerable progress towards broad implementation was made by the adoption in 2011 by the EP and Council of a Regulation on European environmental economic accounts⁵.

This first Regulation contains three modules: (a) *air emissions*, including greenhouse gases, (b) *environmentally related taxes*, and (c) economy-wide *material flow accounts (EW-MFA)*. European statistics according to this Regulation will become available from Member States by end of 2013 and early 2014 respectively for the years 2008 to 2011 and this with a breakdown of 60 economic activities.

This year, the Commission has adopted a proposal to add to the EU Regulation on environmental accounts, the following three further modules: 'physical energy flow accounts' (PEFA), 'environmental goods and services sector' (EGSS) and 'environmental protection expenditure' (EPE). The latter two are especially important for the resource efficiency strategy as they capture the markets' size for green products and services from both a supply (EGSS) and demand side (EPE).

Within the context of the Roadmap for a resource efficient Europe, a preliminary Scoreboard of resource efficiency indicators (REI) was proposed. This set, with the majority of indicators being based on European statistics, is currently tested and discussed with stakeholders.

Distribution of household income, consumption and wealth

In line with the recommendations of the Sponsorship Group, additional household related macro indicators 'Gross Disposable Income of households', 'Adjusted disposable income in purchase power standards' and 'Saving rate for households' are now published together with GDP. An expert group set up by OECD and Eurostat is actually examining how to reconcile macro and micro data sources on household's economic resources with the aim to complement national accounts averages with distributional indicators.

In addition, a series of recommendations have been made by the ESS for the compilation of balance sheet accounts for households and for broadening income measurement to non-market domestic activities and leisure time. Short term work will concentrate on a proposed indicator on real household actual consumption; the work on non-market domestic activities will be carried out on a much longer term prospect.

⁵ EP and Council Regulation 691/2011 of 6 July 2011, OJ L 192, 22.07.2011, p. 1.

Future challenges

In the process of the European Semester, data are provided on the economic and financial situation and on the Europe 2020 headline indicators. While the economic and financial data are very timely, many social and environmental data are 2 to 5 years old. The latter are still useful in providing information on structural trends but their ability to provide an accurate picture of the current situation is limited.

Within the ESS, we are now striving to arrive at a simultaneous provision of more detailed and timely data on the social situation and on environmental sustainability.

This is quite a challenge and therefore Eurostat has started direct discussions with the respective Commission policy services to examine what could be done to speed up the data collection processes and to find innovative ways for providing more timely data. Examples for the social domain are the provision of EU-SILC flash-estimates and in the environmental area we are investing in the calculation of CO₂ emission data from energy statistics.

With regard to international developments, Eurostat contributed with expertise and financial support such as for the development of the System of Environmental-Economic Accounts (SEEA). The SEEA-Central Framework (SEEA-CF) was in February 2012, adopted as an official statistical standard at UN level.

Finally, Eurostat is further developing its work on measuring sustainable development and GDP and beyond with a view to contributing to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to be established at global level and in line with the post-2015 development agenda.

The priority elements on which the Commission focusses in this global debate are laid down in its Communication on 'A decent life for all'. These priority elements are: basic living standards, drivers for inclusive and sustainable growth, sustainable management of natural resources, equality, equity and justice and peace and security.

In its conclusions on this new Communication, the Council has stressed that the EU and its Member States reaffirm that an inclusive and equitable green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication is one of the important tools available for achieving sustainable development.

The Council has also underlined the EU's and the Member States' commitment in this regard through their strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020) and through other relevant policies and in particular through the EU Sustainable Development Strategy.

References

Commission Communication, COM(2013) 92 final, *A Decent Life for All: Ending poverty and giving the world a sustainable future*, 2013,

United Nations, 2012. *The future we want – final outcome document*.
A/CONF.216/L.1 <http://rio20.net/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/N1238164.pdf>

‘*Guidelines on measuring subjective well-being*’, OECD, 2012,
<http://www.oecd.org/statistics/guidelines-on-measuring-subjective-well-being.htm>

Report of the « Commission on the measurement of economic performance and social progress » chaired by Joseph Stiglitz for the French government, 2009 - <http://www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr/en/index.htm>

Commission Communication, COM(2009)433 of final, ‘*GDP and beyond: measuring progress in a changing world*’, 2009, <http://www.beyond-gdp.eu/EUroadmap.html>

Eurostat’s webpages on *Quality of life*, 2013
http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/quality_life/introduction

‘*Sustainable development in the European Union, Monitoring report of the EU sustainable development strategy*’ Eurostat, 2011,
http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/product_details/publication?p_product_code=KS-31-11-224