

2020

36th IARIW General Conference

Paper Prepared for the 36th IARIW General Conference, Oslo, Norway, August 24-28, 2020

China's Industry-Level Productivity Measurement in a Digitalized Economy

Chunyun Wang

Yafei Wang

China has been experiencing a slowdown in measured productivity growth since 2001 (Wu, 2016). A number of commentators and researchers have suggested that this slowdown is at least in part illusory, because real output data have failed to capture the new and better products of the past decade (Ahmad, Ribarsky, and Reinsdorf, 2017). The major causes are conducted as follows: first, since lacking of market transaction price information, the surplus of important new information and communication technologies and related services may be subject to significant undervaluation (Diewert, Fox, and Schreyer, 2018). Second, the output measures of knowledge-based services industries, like health care and education, are still input based in official GDP statistics. Third, measuring intangible capital presents a host of problems, since much of it is produced with firms on "own account" without a market transaction to fix prices and quantities. R&D, a key component of intangibles, is capitalized in national accounts in OECD countries by input approach.

According to the above analysis, this paper measures Total Factor Productivity (TFP) at three levels, including product, industry and total economy level, to handle intellectual property products, new industries and R&D capitalization appearing in digital economy. Supply-use table is the most appropriate tool to measure TFP at three levels, since it has product-industry structure. Data related TFP measurement should be the most important and difficult issues. This paper measures the Chinese industrial TFP by 19 categories containing 72 sectors from 1978 to 2017, especially focus on TFP in digital industry.

Method

This paper takes Jorgenson's aggregate production possibility frontier (APPF) framework,

incorporating Domar weights to account for gross output-based industry productivity measures (Jorgenson et al., 2013), and conducts supply-use tables in digital economy to show input-output accounting relationship from product to industry (Table 1 and Table 2). Supply–use tables are a key element in the construction of industry-level productivity measures and their links to aggregate productivity indicators.

Table 1 Supply of Products in Digital Economy

		Industry		Total supply from domestic production	Imports	Taxes	Trade and transport margins	Total supply at purchasers' prices
		Digital Industry	Other Industry					
Product	Digital Product							
	Other product							
Gross output								

Table 2 Use of Products in Digital Economy

Product	Total supply at purchasers' prices	Deliveries to Industry		Deliveries to Final Demand			
		Digital industry	Other industry	Final consumption	Gross capital formation		Net exports
					Digitalized investment	Other investment	
		Intermediate Inputs					
		Value-added					
		Compensation of employees					
		Gross operating surplus					
		Mixed income					
		Taxes on production					
		Gross output					

Data

This paper gives total economy and industry-level production accounts in China for the period 1978-2017. For the classification of industries, we adopt the 2011 version of the Chinese Standard Industrial Classification (CSIC/2011) and reclassify economy into 19 categories containing 72 sectors. Table 3 gives the data details.

Table 3 Data Category and Source for China's TFP Measurement

Indicator	Category		Source
	Sector	Type	
Output	72 sectors(dividing into digital industry /product and others)	-	SUT developed by National Bureau of Statistics and Yafei Wang (2019)
Intermediate inputs			
Capital		Six types: R&D, Mineral exploration, Software, ICT, Equipment and Instruments, Construction	Yafei Wang and Chunyun Wang (2017;2018)
Labor		Education(5)*Gender(2)*Age(7)	

References

- Ahmad, N., Ribarsky, J., & Reinsdorf, M., Can potential mismeasurement of the digital economy explain the post-crisis slowdown in GDP and productivity growth? OECD Statistics Working Papers, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2017.
- Barefoot, K., Curtis, D., Jolliff, W., Nicholson, J. R., & Omohundro, R., Defining and Measuring the Digital Economy. US Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis, Washington, DC, 2018.
- Diewert, W. E., Fox, K. J., & Schreyer, P., The digital economy, new products and consumer welfare. ESCoE Discussion Paper, Economic Statistics Center of Excellence (ESCoE), London, UK, 2018.
- Jorgenson, D. W. , & Schreyer, P., Industry-level productivity measurement and the 2008 system of national accounts. **Review of Income and Wealth**, 2013, 59(2), 27.
- Jorgenson, D. W., Mun S. Ho, and Kevin J. S., Information Technology and the American Growth Resurgence, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005.
- Syverson, C., Challenges to mismeasurement explanations for the US productivity slowdown. **Journal of Economic Perspectives**, 2017, 31(2), 165-86.
- Wang, Y.F., Wang, C.Y., Capital Stock Accounting of Research and Development in Chinese Industrial Level. **The Journal of Quantitative and Technical Economics**, 2018, (1): 94-110.
- Wang, Y.F., Wang, C.Y., Capital Service Accounting of Information and Communication Technology at Industrial Level in China. **Statistical Research**, 2017, 34 (12): 24-36.
- Wang, Y.F., Wang, C.Y., Capital Stock Measurement of Research and Development of Chinese Manufacturing Industries. **Statistical Research**, 2018, 35 (07): 16-27.
- Wu, H. X., On China's strategic move for a new stage of development-A productivity perspective. The world economy: Growth or stagnation, 2016, 153-198.
- Wu, H., Yue, X., & Zhang, G. G., Constructing annual employment and compensation matrices and measuring labor input in China. **Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI)**, 2015.