Cross-Country Differences in Homeownership: A Cultural Phenomenon?

DISCUSSANT: A. N. RAMBALDI

Authors: Stefanie J. Huber and Tobias Schmidt

< ロ > (四 > (四 > (三 > (三 >))) 문 (-)

Introduction and Background

Related Literature

Estimation Strategy and Data

Data and Sample Selection Estimation Strategy

Estimation and Results

Cultural Transmission Married Couples Ethnic Density

Aggregates

Conclusions and Discussion

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)

Introduction

- Homeownership rates across countries are large and persistent
 - range from 35% in Switzerland to 80% in Spain
- The paper looks into the hypothesis that the cross-country differences are driven by cultural tastes.
 - Investigates the buying decision of international second generation immigrants in the USA (epidemiological approach)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

Related Literature

Transmission of Cultural Values:

- Seminal paper: Caroll et al (1994)
- <u>Definition</u>: A second generation immigrant is defined as an individual that is born, raised and resides in the US, whose father was born in one of 38 countries included in the sample. (follows from Guiso et al. (2006))
- Empirical Strategy: Similar to Fernández and Fogli (2009), Alesina and Giuliano (2010) and Fernández et al (2004)
- Other: Algan and Cahuc (2005), Osili and Paulson (2008), Ichino and Maggi (200), Kosse and Jansen (2013), Atkin (2015), Luttmer and Singhal (2011)
- Paper most related to: Giuliano (2007)
- Determinants of Homeownership
 - Chiuri and Jappelli (2003), Georgarakos et al (2010), Earley (2004), Hilber (2007), Oxley (1984), Fisher and Jafee (2003), Hilber (2007), Andrews and Sanchez (2011)

Introduction and Background

Related Literature

Estimation Strategy and Data Data and Sample Selection

Estimation Strategy

Estimation and Results

Cultural Transmission Married Couples Ethnic Density

Aggregates

Conclusions and Discussion

Individual and Country Level Data

- March Supplement of the Current Population Surverys (CPS) from 1994 to 2014 (IPMUS database)
 - Includes the birthplace of each individual and his or her parents.
- ▶ This study includes second generation immigrants household heads that are at least 20 years old whose father immigrated from one of the 38 countries for which homeonsership rates are available.
 - European (28 countries), Asia (Japan, South Korea, Singapore), Australasia (Australia and New Zealand), America (Mexico, Canada, Chile) and the Middle East (Israel, Turkey).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- 30,748 women and 33,238 men. Average age 58.
- ► Homeownership of this sample: 71.41% (second generation), 70.57% (father born in the USA)

Country Level Data

► Country homeownership rates are from PEW Research Center.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

• Variable: \tilde{Z}_0 , rate of homeownership in 2011

Introduction and Background

Related Literature

Estimation Strategy and Data Data and Sample Selection Estimation Strategy

Estimation and Results

Cultural Transmission Married Couples Ethnic Density

Aggregates

Conclusions and Discussion

Epidemiological Approach

 Capture cultural preferences of immigrants by an average value of a continuous variable assigned to the country of origin

$$HO_{imo} = \beta_o + \beta_1' X_i + \beta_2 \tilde{Z}_o + \delta_m F_m + \delta_t F_t + \epsilon_{imo}$$

- HO_{imo} homeonership status of second generation immigrant *i*, residing in *m*, with father migrating from *o* (a binary variable)
- ► X_i controls, F_m and F_t are dummy variables for metropolitan areas and time period, respectively.

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

Estimation

- Model is estimated by OLS
- Potential problems:
 - Systematic selection of migrants, Omitted variables, Measurement of culture,
- Robustness checks:
 - Estimation as Probit Model,
 - Seven sample size variations
 - three alternative regressions to account for house price effects in year and location

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

alternative robust standard errors

Res<u>ults</u>

Dependent Variable: Homeov	vnership sta	tus of 2^{nd} genera	ation immigrant i
1	(1)	(2)	(3)
10 _{origin}	0.0583***	0.0432**	0.0450**
	(2.68)	(2.26)	(2.36)
x (dummy)		0.0189***	0.0193***
(,	(2.68)	(2.26)	(5.44)
arital status (dummy)		0.156***	0.158***
		(40.64)	(41.02)
ze		0.0231***	0.0227***
		(37.43)	(36.74)
e squared		-0.000143***	-0.000140***
		(-25.57)	(-24.78)
e categories		\checkmark	\checkmark
ome categories		\checkmark	✓
ucation categories			\checkmark
etropolitan area (dummy)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
ar (dummy)	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
onstant	0.672***	-0.381***	-0.381***
	(43.16)	(-17.21)	(-17.21)
	61319	61319	61319
2	0.112	0.253	0.254
i. R^2	0.044	0.247	0.248

æ

Introduction and Background

Related Literature

Estimation Strategy and Data Data and Sample Selection Estimation Strategy

Estimation and Results

Cultural Transmission Married Couples Ethnic Density

Aggregates

Conclusions and Discussion

Parent's Background

	Dependent Varia	able: Homeo	wnership status	of immigrant i	
	2nd generation				
	all (baseline)	single	married ≠ background	married same background	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
HO_{origin}	0.0450**	0.0760**	0.0230	0.145^{*}	
	(2.39)	(2.44)	(1.02)	(1.73)	
	Dependent	Variable: H	omeownership s	status of immigrant	
	Dependent 2nd	Variable: H generation	omeownership s	status of immigrant 1st generati	
	Dependent 	Variable: H generation married	omeownership s	status of immigrant 1st generati married sar	
	Dependent 2nd all (baseline)	Variable: H generation married backgro	omeownership s	status of immigrant 1st generati married sar backgroun	
	Dependent 2nd all (baseline) (1)	Variable: H generation married backgro (2)	omeownership s same ound	status of immigrant 1st generati married sar backgroun (3)	
HO _{origin}	Dependent 2nd all (baseline) (1) 0.0450**	Variable: H generation married backgro (2) 0.145	same yund	status of immigrant Ist generati married sar backgroun (3) 0.291***	

Introduction and Background

Related Literature

Estimation Strategy and Data Data and Sample Selection Estimation Strategy

Estimation and Results

Cultural Transmission Married Couples Ethnic Density

Aggregates

Conclusions and Discussion

Ethnical Density in the Metropolitan Area

	Dependent Va	ariable: Homeowne	rship status of immigrant i
	(1)	(2)	(3)
density	-0.0273**	-0.0280**	-1.103***
	(-2.23)	(-2.28)	(-2.71)
HO_{origin}		0.0449**	0.00370
		(2.34)	(0.15)
density \times HO _{origin}			1.511***
			(2.64)

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Aggregate Regression

$$H_{io} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 HO_{origin} + \epsilon_{io}$$



Second Generation Immigrants have been born and live in the US, while their father has immigrated from one of the countries in our sample.

Figure 1: Homeownership Rates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Regression

	Aggregate Homeownership Rate			
	2nd Generation Immigrants			
	(1)	(2)		
HO _{origin}	0.270^{*}	0.266^{*}		
	(1.96)	(1.88)		
Average aggregate income		-0.000176		
		(-1.06)		
constant	53.12***	63.97***		
	(4.93)	(4.13)		
N	38	38		
R^2	0.102	0.140		
adj. R^2	0.077	0.091		
t statistics in parentheses. * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.				

Conclusions

- Cross-country differences in cultural preferences are an important explanatory factor for the observed persistent differences in homeownership rates across countries
- Study show that the aggregate homeownership rates in the fathers' country of origin has a significant impact on the home buying decisions of second generation immigrants.
- ► These results provide an interesting new perspective on the drivers of differing homeownership rates across countries.
- The results are also relevant for policy. In recent times, researchers and policy markers have understood that housing markets need serious attention to ensure financial stability. To develop effective monetary and macro-prudential policy for the control of housing markets, country heterogeneity needs to be taken into account. Hence, one needs to understand where the large and persistent cross-country differences in homeownership rates originate from. The impact and the effectiveness of the transmission of macro-prudential tools into the economy is influenced both by homeownership rates, and by the underlying reasons that drive these cross-country differences.

Discussion

- The model is a Linear Probability Model.
 - If predictions of homeownership status are in (0,1), estimate of β₂ is consistent but standard errors need to be adjusted
 - Implies the homeownership status of second generation changes by the same amount with a one percent increase in homeownership in the country of father's origin independently of which country that was
- ▶ The conclusions do not match the study.
 - Raw data indicates homeownership rates of US born and raised people are virtually the same whether the father was a migrant or US born.
 - Paper shows homeownership rates at father's country of origin (for 2011) have some explanatory power for the variation in homeownership status of US second generation citizens.
 - How big is this effect? Use Probit Marginal Effects.
 - What do these results tell us?